

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 LILIANA WEBB,)
11 Plaintiff(s),) Case No. 2:17-cv-01226-JCM-NJK
12 v.)
13 ARSTRAT, LLC,) **ORDER**
14 Defendant(s).) (Docket No. 16)
15 _____)

16 Pending before the Court is a stipulation for a 69-day extension of the discovery cutoff, and
17 subsequent deadlines. Docket No. 16. The stipulation is premised on a deponent's indication (two
18 weeks ago) that it could not appear for the deposition as scheduled on February 5, 2018. *Id.* at 1-2. The
19 stipulation also indicates that the deponent is not available before the discovery cutoff, which is today.
20 *Id.* at 2. The stipulation provides no date on which the deponent is available, and provides no
21 justification for a 69-day extension.

22 Depositions are routinely scheduled with ten-days' notice or less. *See, e.g., Reddy v. Precyse*
23 *Solutions LLC*, 2015 WL 2081429, at *3 (E.D. Cal. May 4, 2015) ("courts generally find that one week
24 to ten days' notice is reasonable" (collecting cases)). The parties have provided no justification why they
25 need more than two months to conduct a deposition that was first noticed several weeks ago.
26 Accordingly, the stipulation is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part. The discovery cutoff is
27
28

1 **EXTENDED** to February 28, 2018 only with respect to the identified deposition.¹ The deadlines for
2 dispositive motions and the joint proposed pretrial report remain unchanged.²

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: February 14, 2018

5
6 
NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 _____
26 ¹ The stipulation refers cryptically to “additional discovery.” Docket No. 16 at 3. No showing has
27 been made that an extension is appropriate with respect to any discovery other than the identified deposition.

28 ² The stipulation fails to comply with the local rules in several respects, including failing to identify
with particularity the discovery that has been completed to date. *See* Local Rule 26-4(a). Failure to comply
with the applicable rules in the future may result in summary denial of a request.